

46

DEF 18-6

Info Address
ANATO

FORM DS 322-10CRJ

26 OCT 72 23 17Z

195162

195162 | 262317Z OCT 72 | ROGERS

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2

195162

IO/UNP:SMCINTYRE:AVW
10/24/72 21206
J - AMBASSADOR JOHNSON

IO:MFHERZ
L:SNELSON
EUR/RPM - BREISKY
INR - FOLEY

UNP - ARMITAGE
L/UNA - WILLIS
ACDA - LEONARD
EUR/SOV - MATLOCK

CirTel

ROUTINE

USUN NEW YORK

ROUTINE

TOKYO, ANATO, NATO

LONDON, MOSCOW, PARIS,

SUBJ: ✓ NON-USE OF FORCE {NUF} ✓

1. IN DISCUSSING WITH OTHER DELEGATIONS THE SOV DRAFT RES ON NON-USE OF FORCE AND PERMANENT PROHIBITION OF THE USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS, USDEL SHOULD BE GUIDED BY THE FOLLOWING:

{A} USDEL SHOULD ADOPT A RELATIVELY INACTIVE STANCE. WE DO NOT SUPPORT THE RES AS IT IS. USDEL SHOULD NOT ENCOURAGE OR PROMOTE AMENDMENTS AT THIS TIME BECAUSE THE SOV INITIATIVE MAY FAIL TO ATTRACT MUCH SUPPORT OR EVEN INTEREST.

{B} USDEL SHOULD PRIVATELY AND QUIETLY POINT OUT TO OTHER DELEGATIONS THE PROBLEMS WE SEE IN THE SOV DRAFT, ESPECIALLY THE ROLE CONTEMPLATED FOR THE SC,

AND IN THE DOUBTFUL UTILITY OF TRYING TO REFINE CHARTER LANGUAGE. RE LATTER, SOV RES APPARENTLY ATTEMPTS IN SECOND OPERATIVE PARA TO MAKE SOMETHING BINDING WHICH IS ALREADY BINDING UNDER THE CHARTER.

{C} USDEL SHOULD TELL OTHER DELS THAT USG COULD NOT SUPPORT THE RES IN ITS PRESENT FORM. USDEL SHOULD NOT PROPOSE AMENDMENTS, BUT IF THE SOVS OR OTHERS PROPOSE AMENDMENTS TO US USDEL SHOULD SAY THAT WE WOULD CONSIDER THEM. USDEL SHOULD SAY WE COULD NOT GIVE ANY UNDERTAKING TO PRESS SUCH AMENDMENTS WITH OTHERS.

MFH
JAA
SN
JW
RB
US
RF
JM
WH

50

3

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

1 2

~~f~~

{D} USDEL SHOULD KEEP IN CONSTANT AND CLOSE TOUCH
WITH OUR ALLIES REGARDING THE RES.

2. USDEL IS REQUESTED TO KEEP DEPT PROMPTLY INFORMED OF
ANY MOVES BY OTHERS TO AMEND OR OTHERWISE ALTER SOV TEXT.
DEPENDING UPON

DEVELOPMENTS, WE WILL CONSIDER
WHETHER TO MOVE FROM RELATIVELY
INACTIVE OPPOSITION TO ACCEPTANCE OF A SUITABLY AMENDED
RESOLUTION.

GDS 44

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~